Reply to Office Action of September 1, 2005

Docket No.: 1163-0475P

Page 10

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Applicant thanks the Examiner for the thorough consideration given the

present application. Claims 1, 2 and 5-13 are pending in the present application, and

claims 5-13 are allowed. In this Amendment, claim 1 has been amended. The

Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider the rejection of claims 1-2 in view of

the amendments to the claims and the remarks set forth below.

The Rejection Of Claims 1 and 2 Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

The Examiner has rejected claims 1 and 2 under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. §

103(a) as being unpatentable over U. S. Patent 6,254,536 ("Devito") in view of U.S.

Patent 6,349,231 ("Musha"). The Applicant has amended claim 1 by adding the

limitation that the display unit further displays an image corresponding to a user input

key. The Applicant respectfully submits that amended claim 1 is patentable over DeVito

in view of Musha.

The Amendment of Claim 1

Claim 1 has been amended to include an image corresponding to a user input

key, and it is respectfully submitted that both DeVito and Musha do not disclose this

feature. In the invention of claim 1 the display unit displays both an operation to be

performed on the apparatus to be controlled and an image corresponding to a user

input key. The user of the apparatus is able to see an operation description displayed

DRA/RJM/slb

Page 11

on the display unit, and the user is able to decide whether the operation displayed on

the display unit is correct by activating the user input key which corresponds to an

image displayed on the display unit.

The DeVito patent does not disclose displaying an operation description on a

display unit and allowing the operator to associate a particular brain wave pattern to the

operation. In column 12, lines 12-27, DeVito teaches that in a video game controller an

event is recognized and translated into a control signal, and the control signal is

mapped to a button which corresponds to a button on the video game controller. At

best, DeVito discloses mapping events ("relaxed forehead", "lowered forehead", and

"transition between raised and lower forehead") to control signals that correspond to

depressing buttons A, B, C of the video game controller. It is respectfully submitted that

in DeVito there is no disclosure of displaying an operation description on a display unit

and allowing the operator to associate a particular brain wave pattern to the operation

by displaying a user input key.

The Musha patent also does not disclose displaying an operation description on

a display unit and allowing the operator to associate a particular brain wave pattern to

the operation by also displaying a user input key. Musha may disclose displaying the

"will" of a subject on the display 160 of Fig. 1, but it is respectfully submitted that there is

no disclosure of displaying an image corresponding to a user input key on the display

unit. Moreover, since the Musha patent is directed to "a subject who has lost the ability

to express his/her will verbally or by bodily motion" (see col. 7, lines 8-12), there is no

Application No. 10/691,607 Amendment dated November 30, 2005

Reply to Office Action of September 1, 2005

Docket No.: 1163-0475P

Page 12

motivation whatsoever to provide an image of a user input key. In fact, Musha appears

to teach away from the claimed invention by directing his invention to those who have

lost "bodily motion".

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that the invention of amended claim 1 is

patentable over the combination of DeVito and Musha, and the Examiner is respectfully

requested to withdraw the rejection of claim 1.

Dependent Claim 2

The Applicant believes that dependent claim 2 is allowable over the prior art for

at least the same reasons as independent claim 1 from which it depends.

Allowed Subject Matter

The Examiner has indicated that claims 5-13 are allowed. Accordingly, it is

respectfully submitted that all the claims are now in condition for allowance.

Conclusion

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is believed that the claims

clearly distinguish over the patents relied on by the Examiner, either alone or in

combination.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present

application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Richard J. McGrath (Reg.

DRA/RJM/slb

Docket No.: 1163-0475P

Page 13

No. 29,195) at the telephone number of (703) 205-8000, to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite prosecution in connection with the present application.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: November 30, 2005

Respectfully submitted

D. Richard Anderson Registration No.: 40,439

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Rd Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

